### SUPREME COURT MINUTES FRIDAY, JANUARY 29, 2010 SAN FRANCISCO, CALIFORNIA

S047868

PEOPLE v. GEORGE (JOHNATON SAMPSON)

Extension of time granted

Good cause appearing, and based upon counsel Bruce Eric Cohen's representation that he anticipates filing the appellant's reply brief by June 1, 2011, counsel's request for an extension of time in which to file that brief is granted to March 25, 2010. After that date, only seven further extensions totaling about 430 additional days are contemplated.

S049741

PEOPLE v. SUFF (WILLIAM LESTER)

Extension of time granted

Good cause appearing, and based upon counsel Jeffrey J. Gale's representation that he anticipates filing the appellant's reply brief by February 22, 2010, counsel's request for an extension of time in which to file that brief is granted to February 22, 2010. After that date, no further extension is contemplated.

S055856

PEOPLE v. ROMERO (ORLANDO GENE) & SELF (CHRISTOPHER)

Extension of time granted

Good cause appearing, and based upon counsel William D. Farber's representation that he anticipates filing appellant Christopher Self's reply brief by November 15, 2010, counsel's request for an extension of time in which to file that brief is granted to March 16, 2010. After that date, only four further extensions totaling about 240 additional days are contemplated.

S077009

PEOPLE v. CARRASCO (ROBERT)

Extension of time granted

Good cause appearing, and based upon counsel Robert R. Bryan's representation that he anticipates filing the appellant's reply brief by March 26, 2010, counsel's request for an extension of time in which to file that brief is granted to March 26, 2010. After that date, no further extension is contemplated.

#### S086578

PEOPLE v. LOOT (KENDRICK) & MILLSAP (BRUCE)

Extension of time granted

Good cause appearing, and based upon counsel Manuel J. Baglanis's representation that he anticipates filing appellant Bruce Millsap's opening brief by January 2011, counsel's request for an extension of time in which to file that brief is granted to March 24, 2010. After that date, only five further extensions totaling about 300 additional days are contemplated.

An application to file an overlength brief must be served and filed no later than 60 days before the anticipated filing date. (See Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.631(d)(1)(A)(ii) & (B)(ii).)

#### S087773

PEOPLE v. GOMEZ (RUBEN PEREZ)

Extension of time granted

Good cause appearing, and based upon counsel Lynne S. Coffin's representation that she anticipates filing the appellant's opening brief by May 2010, counsel's request for an extension of time in which to file that brief is granted to March 26, 2010. After that date, only one further extension totaling about 60 additional days is contemplated.

S093944

PEOPLE v. BERTSCH (JOHN ANTHONY) & HRONIS (JEFFERY LEE)

Extension of time granted

On application of appellant John Anthony Bertsch and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file appellant's opening brief is extended to March 26, 2010.

S095868

PEOPLE v. DANIELS (DAVID SCOTT)

Extension of time granted

Good cause appearing, and based upon Deputy State Public Defender Gail R. Weinheimer's representation that she anticipates filing the appellant's opening brief by September 15, 2010, counsel's request for an extension of time in which to file that brief is granted to March 2, 2010. After that date, only four further extensions totaling about 195 additional days are contemplated. An application to file an overlength brief must be served and filed no later than 60 days before the anticipated filing date. (See Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.631(d)(1)(A)(ii) & (B)(ii).)

#### S101984

## PEOPLE v. CHISM (CALVIN DION)

Extension of time granted

Good cause appearing, and based upon Deputy Attorney General Zee Rodriguez's representation that she anticipates filing the respondent's brief by May 31, 2010, counsel's request for an extension of time in which to file that brief is granted to April 1, 2010. After that date, only one further extension totaling about 60 additional days is contemplated.

S103358

PEOPLE v. BARRERA (MARCO ESQUIVEL)

Extension of time granted

Good cause appearing, and based upon Deputy State Public Defender Ellen J. Eggers's representation that she anticipates filing the appellant's opening brief by July 1, 2011, counsel's request for an extension of time in which to file that brief is granted to April 6, 2010. After that date, only eight further extensions totaling about 450 additional days are contemplated.

S111336

BENAVIDES FIGUEROA (VICENTE) ON H.C.

Extension of time granted

Good cause appearing, and based upon Deputy Attorney General Kelly E. LeBel's representation that she anticipates filing the informal response to the petition for writ of habeas corpus by August 3, 2010, counsel's request for an extension of time in which to file that document is granted to March 30, 2010. After that date, only two further extensions totaling about 120 additional days are contemplated.

S113280

PEOPLE v. RUIZ (ALBERT)

Extension of time granted

Good cause appearing, and based upon Deputy Attorney General Brook Bennigson's representation that he anticipates filing the respondent's brief by June 2, 2010, counsel's request for an extension of time in which to file that brief is granted to April 5, 2010. After that date, only one further extension totaling about 60 additional days is contemplated.

An application to file an overlength brief must be served and filed no later than 60 days before the anticipated filing date. (See Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.631(d)(1)(A)(ii) & (B)(ii).)

S115378

PEOPLE v. WOODRUFF (STEVE)

Extension of time granted

On application of appellant and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file appellant's opening brief is extended to March 30, 2010.

### S158710

### LYNCH (FRANKLIN) ON H.C.

Extension of time granted

Good cause appearing, and based upon Assistant State Public Defender Denise Kendall's representation that she anticipates filing the reply to the informal response to the petition for writ of habeas corpus by March 18, 2010, counsel's request for an extension of time in which to file that brief is granted to March 18, 2010. After that date, no further extension is contemplated.

#### S171312

# RAMIREZ (RICHARD M.) ON H.C.

Extension of time granted

Good cause appearing, and based upon Deputy Federal Public Defender Statia Peakheart's representation that she anticipates filing the reply to the informal response to the petition for writ of habeas corpus by October 27, 2010, counsel's request for an extension of time in which to file that document is granted to March 22, 2010. After that date, only four further extensions totaling about 220 additional days are contemplated.

S173490 B207812 Second Appellate District, Div. 5 PEOPLE v. ZAMBIA (JOMO)

Extension of time granted

On application of appellant and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file the reply brief on the merits is extended to March 8, 2010.

#### S175837

### SHELLEY (LEROY) ON H.C.

Extension of time granted

On application of Respondent and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file the informal response is extended to February 26, 2010.

S176983 E047015 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 2 PEOPLE v. ENGRAM (TERRION MARCUS)

Extension of time granted

On application of respondent and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file the Answer Brief on the Merits is extended to February 26, 2010. No further extensions of time are contemplated.

S177654 B212416 Second Appellate District, Div. 1 IN RE V.V.

Counsel appointment order filed

Upon request of appellant for appointment of counsel, Laini Millar Melnick is hereby appointed to represent appellant on the appeal now pending in this court.

Appellant's brief on the merits must be served and filed on or before thirty (30) days from the date of this order.

S179327

GOFF (THOMAS L.) v. S.C. (PEOPLE)

Transferred to Court of Appeal, Fifth Appellate District

The above entitled matter is transferred to the Court of Appeal, Fifth Appellate District, for consideration in light of *Hagan v. Superior Court* (1962) 57 Cal.2d 767. In the event the Court of Appeal determines that this petition is substantially identical to a prior petition, the repetitious petition must be denied.

S179788

CLEMANS, JR., (CHARLES TWAIN) v. S.C. (GARDNER)

Transferred to Court of Appeal, Fourth Appellate District, Division One The above entitled matter is transferred to the Court of Appeal, Fourth Appellate District, Division One, for consideration in light of *Hagan v. Superior Court* (1962) 57 Cal.2d 767. In the event the Court of Appeal determines that this petition is substantially identical to a prior petition, the repetitious petition must be denied.

S176222

ROTHE, JR., ON RESIGNATION

Resignation accepted with disciplinary proceeding pending

The voluntary resignation with charges pending of WILLIAM SAXBY ROTHE, JR., State Bar Number 68594, is accepted without prejudice to further proceedings in any disciplinary proceeding pending against him should he hereafter seek reinstatement.

The court orders that WILLIAM SAXBY ROTHE, JR., comply with rule 9.20 of the California Rules of Court and that he perform the acts specified in subdivisions (a) and (c) of that rule within 30 and 40 days, respectively, after the date this order is filed. (Bus. & Prof. Code, § 6126, subd. (c).)

Costs are awarded to the State Bar in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6086.10 and are enforceable both as provided in Business and Professions Code section 6140.7 and as a money judgment.

S176257

BOTTIMORE ON RESIGNATION

Resignation accepted with disciplinary proceeding pending

The voluntary resignation with charges pending of CHRISTOPHER QUIGGLE BOTTIMORE, State Bar Number 50163, is accepted without prejudice to further proceedings in any disciplinary proceeding pending against him should he hereafter seek reinstatement.

The court orders that CHRISTOPHER QUIGGLE BOTTIMORE comply with rule 9.20 of the California Rules of Court and that he perform the acts specified in subdivisions (a) and (c) of that rule within 30 and 40 days, respectively, after the date this order is filed. (Bus. & Prof. Code, § 6126, subd. (c).)

Costs are awarded to the State Bar in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6086.10 and are enforceable both as provided in Business and Professions Code section 6140.7 and as a money judgment.

# S176673 QUINN III ON RESIGNATION

Resignation accepted with disciplinary proceeding pending

The voluntary resignation with charges pending of RICHARD T. QUINN III, State Bar Number 231161, is accepted without prejudice to further proceedings in any disciplinary proceeding pending against him should he hereafter seek reinstatement.

The court orders that RICHARD T. QUINN III, comply with rule 9.20 of the California Rules of Court and that he perform the acts specified in subdivisions (a) and (c) of that rule within 30 and 40 days, respectively, after the date this order is filed. (Bus. & Prof. Code, § 6126, subd. (c).) Costs are awarded to the State Bar in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6086.10 and are enforceable both as provided in Business and Professions Code section 6140.7 and as a money judgment.

### S177768 RICKER ON RESIGNATION

Resignation accepted with disciplinary proceeding pending

The voluntary resignation with charges pending of DARLENE M. RICKER, State Bar Number 151653, is accepted without prejudice to further proceedings in any disciplinary proceeding pending against her should she hereafter seek reinstatement.

The court orders that DARLENE M. RICKER, comply with rule 9.20 of the California Rules of Court and that she perform the acts specified in subdivisions (a) and (c) of that rule within 30 and 40 days, respectively, after the date this order is filed. (Bus. & Prof. Code, § 6126, subd. (c).) Costs are awarded to the State Bar in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6086.10 and are enforceable both as provided in Business and Professions Code section 6140.7 and as a money judgment.

# S178551 HANCOCK ON RESIGNATION

Resignation accepted with disciplinary proceeding pending

The voluntary resignation with charges pending of DAVID J. HANCOCK, State Bar Number 174784, is accepted without prejudice to further proceedings in any disciplinary proceeding pending against him should he hereafter seek reinstatement.

The court orders that DAVID J. HANCOCK, comply with rule 9.20 of the California Rules of

Court and that he perform the acts specified in subdivisions (a) and (c) of that rule within 30 and 40 days, respectively, after the date this order is filed. (Bus. & Prof. Code, § 6126, subd. (c).) Costs are awarded to the State Bar in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6086.10 and are enforceable both as provided in Business and Professions Code section 6140.7 and as a money judgment.

H035107

Sixth Appellate District

PACIFIC EMPLOYERS INSURANCE v. WORKERS' COMPENSATION APPEALS BOARD

The above-entitled matter, now pending in the Court of Appeal, Sixth Appellate District is transferred to the Court of Appeal, First Appellate District.